

# FIRST ATTEMPT TO AUTOMATICALLY GENERATE HUNGARIAN SEMANTIC VERB CLASSES

Bálint Sass

`sass.balint@itk.ppke.hu`

Péter Pázmány Catholic University, Budapest, Hungary

4th Corpus Linguistics Conference  
27-30 July 2007, Birmingham



1 INTRODUCTION

2 METHOD

3 RESULTS

4 EVALUATION

5 CONCLUSIONS



**1 INTRODUCTION**

## 2 METHOD

## 3 RESULTS

## 4 EVALUATION

## 5 CONCLUSIONS



# INTRODUCTION

“You shall know a word by the company it keeps.”

(John Rupert Firth)

“... the behavior of a verb, particularly with respect to the expression and interpretation of its arguments, is to a large extent determined by its meaning.”

(Beth Levin)



# VERB ALTERNATIONS

Are there any verb alternations in Hungarian?

English: active passive alternation – Hungarian: different verbs

## EXAMPLE

*cheer up* = *felvidít* (in active), *felvidul* (in passive)

→

*Hypothesis:*

similar complement structure entails semantic similarity.



# PARAPHRASE AND MEANING

“Meaning is paraphrase.”

(Wolfgang Teubert)

*Aim:*

- 1 collect paraphrases from corpus
- 2 test whether we get closer to meaning having all (or some) paraphrases



# PARAPHRASE AND MEANING

Semantic Base Hypothesis:  
complement structure  $\rightarrow$  semantic level

A method for identifying paraphrases:

- 1 complement structure similarity  $\rightarrow$  automatically generated verb classes
- 2 semantically coherent classes?  $\rightarrow$  verb-paraphrases
- 3 two sentences with two semantically similar verbs and similar complement structures  $\rightarrow$  paraphrases



# NOT FIRST . . .

No extensive work in this field for Hungarian.

Kata Gábor and Enikő Héja:

Clustering Hungarian Verbs on the Basis of Complementation Patterns (ACL 2007, Student Research Workshop)

- *verb representation*: complement frame distribution vector
- *algorithm*: agglomerative hierarchical clustering
- 150 most frequent verbs
- *results*: 71 verbs in 29 semantically coherent classes according to an intuitive evaluation





# HUNGARIAN VERBS AND COMPLEMENTS

- Hungarian: twenty different cases
- case marker – determines syntactic function
- → free complement order
- simple Hungarian sentence: verb + a *set* of complements
- morphosyntactic complement *positions*



# DETERMINING VERBS AND COMPLEMENTS

Two step algorithm:

- 1 sentences  $\rightarrow$  clauses  
*clause* = verb + its complements  
— regular expression rules
- 2 partial parsing  $\rightarrow$  complements: head-word and case  
— cascaded regular grammar for NPs



1 INTRODUCTION

**2 METHOD**

3 RESULTS

4 EVALUATION

5 CONCLUSIONS



# LANGUAGE DATA

- 11 million running words
- “Magyar Nemzet” daily paper
- part of the Hungarian National Corpus
- POS-tagged & disambiguated



# REPRESENTATION OF VERBS

*k*-means clustering algorithm

- verb – vector
- *dimensions*: ten most frequent cases
- *values*: sets of lemmas

## EXAMPLE REPRESENTATION

	<i>vonatkozik</i> (to concern)
NOM	<i>szabály</i> (rule), <i>törvény</i> (law)
ACC	–
DAT	–
INE	–
SUB	<i>ők</i> (they), <i>mindenki</i> (everybody), <i>épület</i> (building)



# REPRESENTATION OF VERBS

$k$ -means clustering algorithm

- verb – vector
- *dimensions*: ten most frequent cases
- *values*: sets of lemmas

## EXAMPLE REPRESENTATION

	<i>összegez</i> (to sum up)
NOM	<i>elnök</i> (president)
ACC	<i>tapasztalat</i> (experience), <i>eredmény</i> (result)
DAT	–
INE	–
SUB	–



# *k*-MEANS: ASSIGNMENT STEP

- need for distance measure between verbs
- *proximity*: sum of sizes of intersections of the lemma sets

$$\text{prox}(m, v) = \sum_{c \text{ in case positions}} |m_c \cap v_c|$$

$m$  – mean,  $v$  – verb



# *k*-MEANS: UPDATE STEP

To calculate the new mean . . .

- for every dimension:  
frequency list of all lemmas for all of the verbs belonging to this mean
- keep the most frequent lemmas
- keep so many lemmas as the average of the lemma count at this position of verbs





# $k$ -MEANS

- 900 moderately frequent verbs
- $k$  (number of clusters) = 150
- *initialization*: most frequent 150 verbs
- *convergence*: reached after four iterations



1 INTRODUCTION

2 METHOD

**3 RESULTS**

4 EVALUATION

5 CONCLUSIONS



# RESULTS

- 51 single-verb clusters  
71 smaller (2 to 6 verbs) clusters: 243 verbs  
28 bigger clusters
- smaller clusters are semantically more coherent  
algorithm was able to cluster these verbs
- evaluation – only the smaller clusters



# RESULTS

The ten most coherent clusters:

- 1 *alkot, megalkot* (both: to create)
- 2 *megtesz, megcsinál* (both: to do)
- 3 *vonatkozik, kiterjed* (both: to concern)
- 4 *meghal* (to die), *megsérül* (to be injured)
- 5 *függ, múlik* (both: to depend)
- 6 *említ, megemlít* (both: to mention)
- 7 *ismertet* (to outline), *összegez* (to sum up)
- 8 *módosít* (to modify), *megváltoztat* (to change), *felszámol* (to liquidate)
- 9 *kiderül* (to turn out), *feltételez* (to assume), *következtet* (to deduce), *bebizonyosodik* (to prove true), *kitűnik* (to get clear)
- 10 *vizsgál* (to investigate), *tisztáz* (to clarify), *megvizsgál* (to investigate), *elemez* (to analyse), *kutat* (to explore), *feltár* (to reveal)

1 INTRODUCTION

2 METHOD

3 RESULTS

**4 EVALUATION**

5 CONCLUSIONS



# EVALUATION METHODS

Three ways:

- 1 manual intuitive check
- 2 verify most coherent clusters: synonym dictionary
- 3 verify most coherent clusters: Hungarian WordNet



# MANUAL EVALUATION

Results of the intuitive manual check:

coherent	19	27%
more or less coherent	24	34%
not coherent	28	39%

Common errors:

- coherent cluster with one “noise” verb
- two separate coherent clusters mixed up



# VERIFICATION – SYNONYM DICTIONARY

- a machine readable Hungarian synonym dictionary:  
“Magyar Szókinccstár”
- Are verbs in a cluster synonyms?  
yes: 8 ↔ no: 2
- Clusters not verified:  
*meghal* (to die), *megsérül* (to be injured)  
*ismertet* (to outline), *összegez* (to sum up)



# VERIFICATION – HUNGARIAN WORDNET

- verbal part of the new Hungarian WordNet
- Do verbs in a cluster appear in the same synset?  
If not, are they at least in hypernym relation?
  - 7 two-verbs clusters:
    - 3 found as a synset
    - 3 – missing verb
    - 1 verb is in the gloss of the other
  - 3 bigger clusters:
    - both same-synset and hypernym relations

1 INTRODUCTION

2 METHOD

3 RESULTS

4 EVALUATION

5 CONCLUSIONS



# CONCLUSIONS

- two empirical evaluation methods strengthened the results of the manual intuitive evaluation
- no argument can be based on missing words
- capable of capturing similar verbs with *rich* complement structure
- capable of capturing near-synonyms



# CONCLUSIONS

*semantic relatedness*: kind-of, part-of, opposite-of . . .

## EXAMPLE – OPPOSITE MEANING

*legyőz* (to defeat), *kikap* (to loose)

## EXAMPLE – GRADUALITY

*meghal* (to die), *megsérül* (to be injured)

## EXAMPLE – SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF AN ACTION

*fennáll* (to exist), *megszűnik* (to cease), *megmarad* (to last)



## FUTURE WORK

- agglomerative hierarchical clustering can be a better solution
- other versions of the algorithm
  - splitting up big clusters
  - better initialization
- include phrasal verbs, multi-word verbs

### EXAMPLE

*megvizsgál, górcső alá vesz* (both: to investigate)

### EXAMPLE

to consider, to take into consideration



Thank you for your attention!

